#### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

| APPLICATION OF WIRELESSCO, L.P. FOR | )                 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC | <b>)</b> .        |
| CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO        | )                 |
| CONSTRUCT A PERSONAL COMMUNICATION  | ) CASE NO. 96-322 |
| SERVICES FACILITY IN THE LOUISVILLE | )                 |
| MAJOR TRADING AREA (PROSPECT        | )                 |
| PCS FACILITY)                       | )                 |

#### ORDER

The Commission has received the attached letters from Jane M. Cleary and Thomas Cox regarding the proposed personal communication services facility to be located at 9213 Highway 42, Prospect, Oldham County, Kentucky.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- 1. WirelessCo, L.P. ("WirelessCo") shall respond to Ms. Cleary's and Mr. Cox's concerns by certified letter, within 10 days from the date of this Order.
- 2. WirelessCo shall file a copy of the certified letter and dated receipt, within 7 days of the date of the receipt.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 3rd day of September, 1996.

ATTEST:

**Executive Director** 

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

or the Commission

## **RECEIVED**

August 20, 1996

AUG 22 1996

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Mr. Don Mills
Executive Director
Public Service Commission of Kentucky
Post Office Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

### In the matter of:

| APPLICATION OF WIRELESSCO, L.P. FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO CONSTRUCT A PERSONAL COMMUNICATION SERVICES FACILITY IN THE LOUISVILLE MAJOR TRADING AREA (PROSPECT PCS) | )<br>) CASE NO. 96-22<br>)<br>) |
|---|---------------------------------|
|---|---------------------------------|

Dear Mr. Mills:

I am a resident of Countryside subdivision in Oldham County, Kentucky. It has come to my attention recently that Wirelessco, L.P., on behalf of Sprint, has filed application with the Public Service Commission to construct and locate a 193 foot monopole within 500 feet of our subdivision. Several of our residents whose properties are within this 500 foot radius have been contacted in writing by the PSC notifying them of Sprint's intention of building this monopole, and they have responded negatively.

Please be advised that I am adamantly opposed to the construction of this tower. I did not move into Oldham County for its commercialization aspects. I moved into this county for the beauty of the trees and the open spaces and the pastures and being able to stand on my back deck and look over on a ridge overlooking Rte. 329 and seeing deer on that ridge, and listen to the cows mooing in the morning and at night on property located behind me.

Please consider these thoughts, along with the thoughts of my neighbors and schedule a public hearing so our opinions and concerns can be heard before more of our beautiful land is destroyed with ugly towers that will devalue our homes and possibly cause us to be subjected to uncertain levels of electromagnetic fields and fallout.

Sincerely,

Jane M. Cleary 12900 Willow Creek Road Prospect, KY 40059



**August 23, 1996** 

# RECEIVED

AUG 26 1996

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

S. K. W.

Mr. Bon Mills Executive Director Public Service Commission of Kentucky Post Office Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

RE: Application of Wirelessco, L.P. For Issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct a Personal Communication Services Facility in the Louisville Trading Area ( Prospect PCS ).

Case No. <u>96-22</u>

Dear Mr. Mills:

My home is within 500 feet of this proposed project, and the proponents have chosen to avoid notifying me and others of its application.

I wish to voice my strong opposition to the erection of this 193 foot "monopole" in our community for the following reasons:

- a. I am concerned about the potential danger associated with uncertain levels of electromagnetic fields and harmful fallout.
- b. I believe it will be unsightly and will adversely impact the value of my property.
- c. There is no proven "public benefit" or need for this facility, only the greed of the developer.
- It will destroy the rural nature of our area.

I respectfully urge the PSC staff to recommend the denial of this application and its rejection by the PSC.

Sincerely,

MOMAS COX

fc The Honorable Paul Patton The Honorable John Black

COLONIAL Trading Partners